Date: 1 November 2002

2 2002 2 2002	301			PATENT
	₹) */	IN	THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND	TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMA	/ e applicat	ion of:	Strand et al.	Docket No.: 8160.16016-CIP DIV
Ser	ial No.:		09/774,275	Examiner: Madsen, Robert A.
File	d:		30 January 2001	Group Art Unit: 1761
For	:		A Resealable Bag for Filling with Fo	ood Product(s) and Method
	nmissior shington			RECEIVED NOV 1 3 2002
` -			AMENDMENT TRANSI	TC 1700
1.	Trans	smitted I	erewith is an amendment for this app	lication.
			STATUS	
2.	Appli	cant is		
	[]	a sma	all entity	
	[x]	other	than a small entity.	
	-		CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37)	CFR 1.8(a))
Serv	ice on the da	ite shown l	er (along with any referred to as being attached or	enclosed) is being deposited with the United State Postal an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents
			Linda S.	Wenzel

EXTENSION OF TERM

IOTE.	Non-Fin	al Office A	e in ratent Cases (Supplement action, an extension of time is n hortened statutory period.	ot required to permit fil	ling and/or entry of an additional amendment after
	a Notice the timel	of Appeal	or filing and/or entry of an addi	tional amendment after condition for allowance.	on of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of expiration of the shortened statutory period unless Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).
IOTE:		CFR 1.64 nation prod		'erference proceedings	and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extensions of time in
3.	The p	roceedir	ngs herein are for a pate	ent application and	I the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply
			(complete (a	a) or (b) as applica	able)
•	(a)	[]	Applicant petitions for 1.17(a)-(d) for the tota		me under 37 CFR 1.136 (fees: 37 CFR ns checked below:
]	Extens (month one man two mon three r four m	ns) onth onths months onths	<u>Sma</u> \$ 1 \$ 40 \$ 90 \$144	r other than all Entity 10.00 00.00 20.00 40.00 60.00	Fee for <u>Small Entity</u> \$ 55.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 460.00 \$ 720.00 \$ 980.00
			Fee: \$		
	If an a	dditiona	I extension of time is red	quired please cons	sider this a petition therefor.
			(check and complet	e the next item, if	applicable)
	[]	therefo	ension for or of \$ ension now requested.	_ months has al _ is deducted fron	ready been secured and the fee paid n the total fee due for the total months
		Extens	sion fee due with this red	μuest: \$	
				OR	
	(b)	[x]	conditional petition is b	eing made to prov	of term is required. However, this ride for the possibility that applicant has petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Additional Fee (Small Entity)	Additional Fee (Large Entity)
Total Claims 37 CFR 1.16(c)*	42	-105=	(63)	x \$ 9.00	\$0	\$0
Independent Claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)**	3	-11 =	(8)	x \$ 42.00	\$0	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent claim(s) if any (37 CFR 1.16(d))				\$140.00	\$0	\$0
Total Additional Fee					\$0	\$0

If the highest No. Fleviously Faid for the This of ACE is less than 20, enter	•	If the "Highest No. Previousl	ly Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter	'20
---	---	-------------------------------	---	-----

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (S 1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 CFR S 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d) as applicable)

(c) [x] No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) [] Total additional fee for claims required \$_____

FEE PAYMENT

٥.	IJ	Attached is a check in the	sum or \$
	[]	Charge Account No	the sum of \$

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

^{**} If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE:	are necessary to cover the additional If the maximum, six-month period has the application is held abandoned. I included, processing delays are encountries of the second	is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees nal time consumed in making up the original deficiency, as expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, I. In those instances where authorization to charge is accountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance ges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge afficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7,		
6. []	If any additional extension and/or fee	is required, charge Account No		
		AND/OR		
[] If any additional fee for claims i		ired charge Account No		
		Jame A. Dable		
		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY		
Reg. No.: 46,436		Laura A. Dable		
		TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY		
Tel. No.:	(262) 783 - 1300	RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.		
	,	P.O. ADDRESS		
		Post Office Box 26618		
		Milwaukee Wisconsin 53226		

Patent





NOV 1 2 2002 PARADEMPORTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Strand, et al.

Docket No. 8160.16016-CIP DIV

Serial No.:

09/774,275

Examiner: Madsen, Robert A.

Filed:

30 January 2001

Group Art Unit: 1761

Title:

A Resealable Bag for Filling with Food Product(s) and Method

AMENDMENT C AND RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Box Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 RECEIVED

NOV 1 3 2002

TC 1700

Dear Sir:

The Applicants hereby respond to the Office Action dated 2 October 2002 for Serial No. 09/774,275.

AMENDMENTS

In the claims:

Please cancel claims 1 - 62, inclusive and claims 118 - 137, inclusive, without prejudice. Please see attached table for numbering.

A true copy of the remaining pending claims, namely claims 75 - 117, inclusive is submitted herewith.

REMARKS

The Examiner has stated that the numbering of the claims pending in the present application appears to be erroneous, since "the USPTO has renumbered the claims 1 - 125, respectively, as required under 37 CFR 1.126". The Examiner has suggested that the Applicants cancel all the claims and resubmit the claims, starting with claim 146. Applicants respectfully disagree with the actions of the USPTO and do not believe the claims should be renumbered at this time.

The Examiner has cited 37 C.F.R. § 1.126 as reason for renumbering the claims, which reads "[t]he original numbering of the claims must be preserved throughout prosecution. When claims are